

# The Economics of Contingent Re-Auctions

By SANDRO BRUSCO, GIUSEPPE LOPOMO, AND LESLIE M. MARX

## I. Online Appendix

**Supplementary Material for the Proof of Proposition 1.** We prove existence first for the ascending-bid auction. To improve readability, for the purposes of this proof we use the notation  $d$  instead of  $d^A$  for the delay threshold. In order to prove that a symmetric equilibrium exists, define

$$V_l^i(l_i; d) = E_{l_{-i}, h_{-i}} [\max \{l_i - p_l(l_{-i}, r_1), 0\} \mid l_j \leq d(h_j) \text{ each } j \neq i]$$

$$V_h^i(h_i; d) = E_{l_{-i}, h_{-i}} [\max \{h_i - p_h(h_{-i}, r_2), 0\} \mid l_j \leq d(h_j) \text{ each } j \neq i].$$

Consider the space  $\Xi$  of all continuous functions  $d : [\underline{h}, \bar{h}] \rightarrow [r_1, \bar{l}(\bar{h})]$ . Let the distance between two functions  $d$  and  $d'$  in  $\Xi$  be defined as

$$(1) \quad \text{dist}(d, d') = \sup_{h \in [\underline{h}, \bar{h}]} |d(h) - d'(h)|.$$

This space is bounded below by the constant function at  $d(h) = r_1$  and above by the constant function at  $d(h) = \bar{l}(\bar{h})$ .

Remember that we assumed that the derivative  $\frac{d\bar{l}(h)}{dh}$  is bounded above, hence there exists a real number  $K$  such that  $\frac{d\bar{l}(h)}{dh} \leq K$ . Define

$$\bar{K} = \max \left\{ K, \frac{1}{\Pr[l_i \leq r_1]} \right\}$$

and consider the subset  $\Psi \subset \Xi$  such that, for each  $d \in \Psi$  we have

$$|h - h'| \leq \varepsilon \quad \rightarrow \quad |d(h) - d(h')| \leq \bar{K}\varepsilon.$$

The set  $\Psi$  is non-empty, closed, bounded and convex. Furthermore, the subset  $\Psi$  of functions is equicontinuous since, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{\bar{K}}$  such that  $|h - h'| < \delta$  implies  $|d(h) - d(h')| < \varepsilon$  for each  $d \in \Psi$ .

For each  $h$  let

$$\chi(h; d) = \sup_l \left\{ l \leq \bar{l}(h) \mid V_l^i(l; d) < V_h^i(h; d) \right\}.$$

Define a mapping  $\zeta : \Xi \rightarrow \Xi$  as follows. Given  $d \in \Xi$  the function  $\zeta(d)$  is defined as

$$\zeta(d)(h_i) = \max\{r_1, \chi(h_i; d)\}$$

for each  $h_i \in [\underline{h}, \bar{h}]$ . The mapping  $\zeta$  is continuous in the metric defined by (1). If we can prove that  $\zeta$  maps  $\Psi$  into itself, i.e.  $\zeta(\Psi) \subset \Psi$  then we can apply the Schauder Fixed-Point Theorem and conclude that a fixed point  $d^*$  exists.

To see that  $\zeta(d) \in \Psi$  whenever  $d \in \Psi$ , observe that we only need to consider points  $h$  such that  $\zeta(d)(h) = \chi(h; d)$ . If  $\chi(h; d) = \bar{l}(h)$  then we are done, since by assumption  $\frac{d\bar{l}(h)}{dh} \leq K \leq \bar{K}$ . Thus, consider a point  $h$  at which there is an open neighborhood such that  $\chi(h; d) < \bar{l}(h)$ . By construction, at all such points we must have

$$(2) \quad V_l^i(\chi(x; d); d) = V_h^i(x; d)$$

for each  $x \in (h_i - \varepsilon, h_i + \varepsilon)$ .

From this point on, in order to keep the notation as simple as possible, we will consider only the case of a single opponent and a differentiable function  $\chi$ . The argument can be extended without major changes to the case of multiple opponents and non-differentiable functions.

Since (2) holds for each point in  $(h_i - \varepsilon, h_i + \varepsilon)$ , whenever  $\chi$  is differentiable we have

$$\chi'(h_i; d) = \frac{\frac{dV_h^i(h_i; d)}{dh}}{\frac{dV_l^i(\chi(h_i; d); d)}{dl}}.$$

With a single opponent we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_l^i(l_i; d) &= \int_{\underline{h}}^{\bar{h}} \int_{\underline{l}}^{l_i} \max\{l_i - p_l(l_j, r_1), 0\} f(l_j, h_j \mid l_j < d(h_j)) dl_j dh_j \\ &= \int_{\underline{h}}^{\bar{h}} \int_{\underline{l}}^{l_i} (l_i - \max\{l_j, r_1\}) f(l_j, h_j \mid l_j < d(h_j)) dl_j dh_j, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV_l^i(l_i; d)}{dl} &= \frac{\int_{\underline{h}}^{\bar{h}} \int_{\underline{l}}^{l_i} f(l_j, h_j \mid l_j < d(h_j)) dl_j dh_j}{\int_{\underline{h}}^{\bar{h}} \int_{\underline{l}}^{\min\{l_i, d(h_j)\}} f(l_j, h_j) dl_j dh_j} \\ &= \frac{\Pr[l_j < d(h_j)]}{\Pr[l_j < d(h_j)]}. \end{aligned}$$

Since we are looking at the point  $x = \chi(h_i; d)$  we have

$$\left. \frac{dV_l^i(l_i; d)}{dl} \right|_{l_i = \chi(h_i; d)} = \frac{\Pr[l_j \leq \min\{\chi(h_i; d), d(h_j)\}]}{\Pr[l_j < d(h_j)]} \geq \frac{\Pr[l_j \leq r_1]}{\Pr[l_j < d(h_j)]}$$

On the other hand,

$$V_h^i(h_i; d) = \int_{\underline{h}}^{h_i} \int_{\underline{l}}^{\bar{l}(\bar{h})} \max\{h_i - \max\{h_j, r_2\}\} f(l_j, h_j \mid l_j < d(h_j)) dl_j dh_j$$

so that

$$\frac{dV_h^i(h_i; d)}{dh_i} = \frac{\int_{\underline{h}}^{h_i} \int_{\underline{l}}^{d(h_j)} f(l_j, h_j) dl_j dh_j}{\Pr[l_j < d(h_j)]} \leq \frac{1}{\Pr[l_j < d(h_j)]}.$$

We conclude that the derivative of

$$\chi'(h_i; d) = \frac{\frac{dV_h^i(h_i; d)}{dh}}{\frac{dV_l^i(\chi(h_i; d); d)}{dl}} \leq \frac{\frac{1}{\Pr[l_j < d(h_j)]}}{\frac{\Pr[l_j \leq r_1]}{\Pr[l_j < d(h_j)]}} = \frac{1}{\Pr[l \leq r_1]} \leq \bar{K}.$$

This completes the proof.